

RICE STAT 533 / GSBS 1283, Spring 2020 Exam 1

DO NOT TURN OVER THIS TEST UNTIL YOU ARE TOLD TO DO SO

- You have 1 hour 15 minutes to complete this test.
- Show your work for each question. Partial credit will be given for partially worked out problems.

1. Suppose $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim_{iid} f(x|\theta)$ from an exponential location model where

$$f(x|\theta) = e^{-(x-\theta)} \mathbb{1}_{x \geq \theta}.$$

We are interested in testing the hypothesis

$$H_0 : \theta \leq \theta_0$$

$$H_a : \theta > \theta_0.$$

(a) (10 points) Determine a size α likelihood ratio test for this hypothesis. The test should depend on the data through a 1-dimensional sufficient statistic. Write the test function T with an explicit cutoff based on this statistic (cutoff will depend on α).

Answer: The joint pdf is

$$f(\vec{x}|\theta) = \underbrace{e^{-\sum x_i}}_{h(x)} \underbrace{e^{n\theta} \mathbb{1}_{\theta \leq x_{(1)}}}_{g(T=X_{(1)}|\theta)}$$

Therefore by the factorization theorem $T = X_{(1)}$ is sufficient. The LR statistic is

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} L(\theta|x)}{\sup L(\theta|x)}$$

The unrestricted MLE is $X_{(1)}$. If $X_{(1)} < \theta_0$, then the restricted MLE is also $X_{(1)}$. Otherwise the restricted MLE is θ_0 . Thus we have

$$\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & : x_{(1)} \leq \theta_0 \\ e^{-n(x_{(1)} - \theta_0)} & : o.w. \end{cases}$$

and the LRT rejection region is

$$R = \{x : \lambda(x) \leq c\}$$

which is equivalent to

$$R = \{x : x_{(1)} > \underbrace{\theta_0 - \frac{\log c}{n}}_{\equiv d}\}$$

We need to compute d in the rejection region from part b). Using the density of the order statistic we can determine that the pdf for $X_{(1)}$ is

$$f_{X_{(1)}}(x|\theta) = ne^{-n(x-\theta)} \mathbb{1}_{x \geq \theta}$$

So

$$\alpha = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} P_\theta(X \in R) = P_{\theta_0}(X_{(1)} > d) = \int_d^\infty f_{X_{(1)}}(x|\theta_0) dx$$

Computing the integral on the right we determine

$$\alpha = e^{-n(d-\theta_0)}$$

Thus

$$d = \theta_0 - \frac{\log \alpha}{n}.$$

(b) (3 points) Is this test UMP size α ? Why or why not?

Answer: Yes. The model is not a member of the exponential family (support changes with θ), but it does have a MLR in $X_{(1)}$. Note that for $\theta_2 > \theta_1$, we have

$$\frac{f_{X_{(1)}}(x|\theta_2)}{f_{X_{(1)}}(x|\theta_1)} = \frac{ne^{-n(x-\theta_2)}\mathbf{1}_{x>\theta_2}}{ne^{-n(x-\theta_1)}\mathbf{1}_{x>\theta_1}} = e^{n(\theta_2-\theta_1)} \frac{\mathbf{1}_{x>\theta_2}}{\mathbf{1}_{x>\theta_1}}$$

which equals 0 for $x \leq \theta_2$ and a constant for $x > \theta_2$. Therefore $X_{(1)}$ has a MLR and rejecting for large $X_{(1)}$ represent UMP tests.

2. Suppose $X_i \sim_{iid} f(x|p)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ from a $Poisson(\theta)$ model where

$$f(x|\theta) = \frac{e^{-\theta}\theta^x}{x!}$$

We would like to test the hypotheses

$$H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$$

$$H_1 : \theta \neq \theta_0$$

Suppose we put an exponential prior on θ conditional on the alternative, i.e.

$$\pi(\theta|\theta \in \Theta_1) = e^{-\theta}\mathbf{1}_{\theta>0}$$

(a) (10 points) Compute the Bayes factor for this model.

Answer: The Bayes factor and Bayes test depend only on a sufficient statistic. In this case $Y = \sum X_i \sim Poisson(n\theta)$ is sufficient. We have

$$\begin{aligned} m_1(y) &= \int_{\theta} f(y|\theta)\pi(\theta)d\theta \\ &= \int_{\theta} \frac{e^{-n\theta}(n\theta)^y}{y!} e^{-\theta} \\ &= \frac{n^y}{y!} \Gamma(y+1) \left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)^{y+1} \underbrace{\int \frac{1}{\Gamma(y+1)(n+1)^{-(y+1)}} e^{-\theta(n+1)} \theta^y d\theta}_{=1} \\ &= \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^y \left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where the integral equaling 1 is justified by the fact that this is a Gamma density, thus it integrates to 1. Therefore the Bayes factor is

$$\beta = \frac{m_0(y)}{m_1(y)} = \frac{\frac{e^{-n\theta_0}(n\theta_0)^y}{y!}}{\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^y \left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)}$$

- (b) (3 points) Suppose the prior on the null model is π_0 . Find the posterior probability of the null $\hat{\pi}_0$.

Answer: Since

$$\frac{\hat{\pi}_0}{1 - \hat{\pi}_0} = \beta \frac{\pi_0}{1 - \pi_0}$$

we have

$$\hat{\pi}_0 = \frac{\beta \frac{\pi_0}{1 - \pi_0}}{1 + \beta \frac{\pi_0}{1 - \pi_0}}$$

3. (10 points) Let $X = (X_1, X_2, X_3) \sim \text{Multi}(n, p)$ from the multinomial distribution where $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = 1$ and $p_j > 0$. Derive a Wald or Rao test statistic for the hypotheses

$$H_0: p_2 = 2p_3$$

$$H_1: p_2 \neq 2p_3$$

and state its limiting distribution.

Answer: Define the parameter to be $p = (p_2, p_3)$ and $p_1 \equiv 1 - p_2 - p_3$. Then the null can be represented as $H_0: R(p) = 0$ where $R(p) = (1, -2)p = p_2 - 2p_3$. Then we have $C = (1, -2)^T$. For the Wald, the unrestricted MLE is $\hat{p} = (X_2/n, X_3/n)$ and the test statistic is

$$\begin{aligned} W_n &= R(\hat{p})^T (C(\hat{p})^T I_n^{-1}(\hat{p}) C(\hat{p}))^{-1} R(\hat{p}) \\ &= \frac{(\hat{p}_2 - 2\hat{p}_3)^2}{(1, -2) I_n^{-1}(\hat{p}) (1, -2)^T} \end{aligned}$$

We have (see homework for more details of derivation)

$$I_n(\hat{p}) = n \begin{pmatrix} \hat{p}_2^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{p}_3^{-1} \end{pmatrix} - n \begin{pmatrix} \hat{p}_1^{-1} & \hat{p}_1^{-1} \\ \hat{p}_1^{-1} & \hat{p}_1^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

So

$$I_n^{-1}(\hat{p}) = n^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{p}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{p}_3 \end{pmatrix} + n^{-1} \hat{p} \hat{p}^T$$

Plugging these into the Wald statistic we have

$$W_n = \frac{n(\hat{p}_2 - 2\hat{p}_3)^2}{(\hat{p}_2 + 4\hat{p}_3) + (\hat{p}_2^2 - 2\hat{p}_3)^2}$$

$W_n \rightarrow_d \chi_1^2$ by Theorem 6.6.

For the Rao test, restricted MLE is

$$\tilde{p} = (2(X_2 + X_3)/3, X_2 + X_3/3)$$

The score function is

$$s_n(\tilde{p}) = \left(\frac{n(X_2 - 2X_3)}{2(X_2 + X_3)}, \frac{n(2X_3 - X_2)}{X_2 + X_3} \right)$$

Noting that $R_n = s_n(\tilde{p})^T I_n^{-1}(\tilde{p}) s_n(\tilde{p})$ and using the derivating of I_n^{-1} from the Wald we have

$$R_n = \frac{(X_2 - 2X_3)^2}{2(X_2 + X_3)} = n \frac{(\tilde{p}_2 - 2\tilde{p}_3)^2}{2(\tilde{p}_2 + \tilde{p}_3)}$$

$R_n \rightarrow_d \chi_1^2$ by Theorem 6.6.