2-D Images in Astronomy

Sky Survey Projects	Data Volume
DPOSS (The Palomar Digital Sky Survey)	3 TB
2MASS (The Two Micron All-Sky Survey)	10 TB
GBT (Green Bank Telescope)	20 PB
GALEX (The Galaxy Evolution Explorer)	30 TB
SDSS (The Sloan Digital Sky Survey)	40 TB
SkyMapper Southern Sky Survey	500 TB
PanSTARRS (The Panoramic Survey Telescope and Rapid Response System)	~ 40 PB expected
LSST (The Large Synoptic Survey Telescope)	~ 200 PB expected
SKA (The Square Kilometer Array)	~ 4.6 EB expected



ZTF camera FOV is 50 square degrees.

Largest camera on >1m telescope by area in the world.

Or, to make a little clearer, here's Orion.

The white box is the ZTF imaging area.

The moon is in the upper right corner of the white box.

ZTF will survey an order of magnitude faster than PTF.

	PTF	ZTF
Active Area	7.26 deg ²	47 deg ²
Overhead Time	46 sec	<15 sec
Optimal Exposure Time	60 sec	30 sec
Relative Areal Survey Rate	1x	14.7x
Relative Volumetric Survey Rate	1x	12.3x

Existing PTF camera

MOSAIC 12k

3800 deg²/hour

 \Rightarrow 3 π survey in 8 hours

>250 observations/field/year for uniform survey

New ZTF camera: 16 6k x 6k e2v CCDs

And to Process All This?

IPAC is the data processing and archive center for all aspects of ZTF. Continuous raw data flow of 30MB/s. 0.5-1 PB/yr of data products. Drone farm of 128 computers. Replication of proven PTF design in subunits similar to PTF data load (camera quadrants).

ZTF provides the US community a stepping stone to LSST.

PTF: 4×10^4 events/night ZTF: 3×10^5 events/night LSST: 2×10^6 events/night

Technical	develop algorithms & software for detection & classification
Scientific	discover new transient & variable phenomena
Organizational	organize collaborations and followup strategies with real data



NSF-sponsored summer schools and direct student involvement in ZTF development will prepare a new generation of researchers!

CCD Images

. . .

Photo counting device Bias Dark Readout noise Sky background Flat field – sensitivity Field distortion PSF – diffraction Nonlinearity – saturation Cosmic rays Meteorites Stars and Galaxies

Find the information you want:

Precision photometry? Astrometry? Transients?

Subtraction with ISIS (or DIA)

ISIS C. Alard http://www2.iap.fr/users/alard/package.html

DIA P.R. Wozniak http://www.astro.princeton.edu/~wozniak/dia/

 $Im(x,y) - [Ref(u,v) \otimes Ker(u,v;x,y)] = Diff(x,y)$

$$Ker(u,v;x,y) \neq \sum_{n} a_{n}(x,y)K_{n}(u,v)$$

ef = Reference Image (best seeingthe flux scaling factor is the sum of the local kernel ...(C.

r = Kernel image (to be found) ^{Alard, 2000}

$$K_n(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v}) = \boldsymbol{e} \boldsymbol{\omega}^{2+\boldsymbol{v}^2/2\sigma_k^2} \boldsymbol{u}^i \boldsymbol{v}^j$$

$$a_n(x, y) = \sum_{i,j} b_{i,j} x^i y^j$$
 $n = \bigcup_{k \in I} k$

(C. Alard 2000; P.R. Wozniak 2001)

Alard, 2000) ...the result is on the scale of the program image. I divide it by the norm of the kernel and express the flux in the units of the reference image...(P. Wozniak, private communication)

1. The Antarctic Survey Telescopes (AST3/KISS)

- Three 50cm wide area optical/NIR telescopes
- Wide field time domain optical survey from Dome A
- China/Australia/French/US collaboration
- Operation between 2014-2020
- Synoptic K-band survey sensitivity (KISS): S/N ratio of 5 for a K=19.5 mag point source in 1 hr
 - Star formation
 - Cosmic infrared background
 - Supernovae and GRBs
 - Exoplanets
 - Variable stars

2. Kunlun Dark Universe Survey

- One 2.5 meter, wide field optical/NIR survey telescope
- Pending approval by the Chinese government approval, targeting at 2020 Dome A
- Optical survey, 5000 sq deg images at resolution of 0.31 arcsec to, e.g., i=26.6mag (Point source sensitivity comparable to LSST)
- Weak/Strong lensing
- Supernovae
- BAO
- NIR upgrade, and deep K-band survey
 - Epoch of reionization
 - Wider field than IWST









May 6 500-351

Bad Columns









Oscillation?



Image Subtraction in Astrophysics

- adjust Point Spread Function for instrumental/atmospheric effects which otherwise mask physical changes in target of study
- 1) adjust PSF in images in different filter passbands* to allow inter-wavelength comparison (Ciardullo et al. 1990)

2) adjust PSF of images in time sequences to allow construction of light curves of variable sources (Tomaney & Crotts 1995)

*or polarization, or other variables for non-photons



Image 1



What's Different in the Picture Below??





Image 2



- Image 2

Image 1 blurred - Image 2



Image Subtraction Algorithms

First: register 2 images to same coordinate system and adjust photometric scaling so net photon counts equal

- Fourier quotient technique: construct convolution kernel by dividing transforms of 2 PSFs in fourier space and transform back to image space (Phillips 1990; difimphot -Tomaney & Crotts 1995)
- Simultaneous least-squares fit: set of simultaneous equations relating pixel values in 2 images, plus convolution kernel. Solve for convolution kernel. (ISIS -Alard & Lupton 1998, ...)

Pre-nova Activity in M31



Time-series Image Subtraction (17 *orders of magnitude distance*)

- Supernovae & gamma-ray bursts
- Light Echoes (transient reflection nebulae)
- Gravitational microlensing
- Variable stars
- Planetary surfaces e.g., Moon, Mercury
- (also can be used for measuring small proper

Distant Supernova Photometry





SN 2002bo

M31 (Halo?) Microlensing



Transient Lunar Phenomena (TLPs)

< 1 km to ~100 km across ~10 - 10000s duration "brightening" "color change" "obscuration" "darkening" ~1700 events (Middlehurst 1977, Cameron 1978, 2006)







Greenacre & Barr 1963, Aristarchus, 2h













Current/Future Surveys using Image Subtraction

- Current microlensing/supernova surveys are producing << 1 Tbyte/night (SDSS II, III; MEGA,...)
- Future surveys >> 1 Tbyte/night (DES, LSST, ALPACA,...)
- Strong need for real-time processing for alerts on variable events to trigger followup studies

De-convolution method



Deconvolution of an image of the compact star cluster Sk 157 in the Small Magellanic Cloud. Left : image obtained with the ESO/MPI 2.2 m telescope at La Silla (1".1 FWHM) ; right : deconvolution with our algorithm (0".26 FWHM). Comparison of the background light distributions deduced from deconvolution, using the two-channel Lucy method (top left) and our method (top right). The bottom panels show the square of the difference between the deduced background (reconvolved to the same 2 pixels resolution when necessary) and the exact solution, with the twochannel Lucy method (left) and with our algorithm (right).



MAGAIN, COURBIN, & SOHY (see 494,

Search of Supernovae with DES data