

Regression in Astronomy II

October 26, 2015



Intrinsic Scatter Continued

Cramer-Rao Bound and Fisher Information

Measurement Error in x

Intrinsic Scatter Continued

Cramer-Rao Bound and Fisher Information

Measurement Error in x

Intrinsic Scatter and y (Normal) Measurement Error

$$Y = X\beta + \epsilon$$

where

$$\epsilon \sim N(0, \Sigma)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is a diagonal matrix with $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{ii}=\sigma^2+\sigma_{yi}^2.$

 $\beta = (\beta_0, \beta_1)$ and σ^2 are unknown parameters.

Maximum Likelihood with Intrinsic Scatter

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\sigma}^2, \widehat{\beta}_0, \widehat{\beta}_1 &= \operatorname*{argmax}_{(\sigma^2, \beta_0, \beta_1)} L((\sigma^2, \beta_0, \beta_1) | D) \\ &= \operatorname*{argmax}_{(\sigma^2, \beta_0, \beta_1)} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\sigma^2 + \sigma_i^2)}} e^{-(y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2 / (2(\sigma^2 + \sigma_i^2))} \\ &= \operatorname*{argmin}_{(\sigma^2, \beta_0, \beta_1)} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\log(\sigma^2 + \sigma_i^2) + \frac{(y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2}{(\sigma^2 + \sigma_i^2)} \right) \end{split}$$

- No closed form solution.
- But at fixed σ , closed form solution.

Minimization Procedure

Define $W(\sigma^2)$ to be diagonal matrix with $W(\sigma^2)_{ii} = (\sigma_i^2 + \sigma^2)^{-1}$. $\widehat{\sigma}^2, \widehat{\beta}_0, \widehat{\beta}_1 = \operatorname*{argmin}_{(\sigma^2, \beta_0, \beta_1)} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(\sigma^2 + \sigma_i^2) + (Y - X\beta)^T W(\sigma^2)(Y - X\beta)$

So

$$\widehat{\sigma}^{2} = \underset{\sigma^{2}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \min_{\beta_{0},\beta_{1}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(\sigma^{2} + \sigma_{i}^{2}) + (Y - X\beta)^{T} W(\sigma^{2})(Y - X\beta)$$
$$= \underset{\sigma^{2}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(\sigma^{2} + \sigma_{i}^{2}) + (Y - X\widehat{\beta}(\sigma^{2}))^{T} W(\sigma^{2})(Y - X\widehat{\beta}(\sigma^{2}))}_{\equiv SSML(\sigma^{2})}$$

where

$$\widehat{\beta}(\sigma^2) = (X^T W(\sigma^2) X)^{-1} X^T W(\sigma^2) Y$$

• Grid search on σ to find $\hat{\sigma}$.

 $\blacktriangleright \ \widehat{\beta} = \widehat{\beta}(\widehat{\sigma}).$

" χ^2 Minimization" for Estimating Parameters

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(y_{i} - \beta_{0} - \beta_{1} x_{i})^{2}}{(\sigma^{2} + \sigma_{i}^{2})}$$

• One could minimize chi-squared:

$$\widehat{\sigma}^2, \widehat{\beta_0}, \widehat{\beta_1} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\sigma^2, \beta_0, \beta_1} \chi^2$$

 Computational issue is same as with ML, but at fixed σ² easy. So compute:

$$\widehat{\sigma}^{2} = \underset{\sigma^{2}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \underbrace{\min_{\sigma^{2}} \chi^{2}}_{\equiv SS\chi^{2}(\sigma^{2})}$$

Simulation



Parameters: $\beta_0 = 2$, $\beta_1 = 1.5$, $\sigma^2 = 0.1^2$ Data: $\{(y_i, x_i, \sigma_{yi})\}_{i=1}^n$

Maximum Likelihood



Looks reasonable.

Chi–Squared



10/33

Quantify Uncertainty on ML Estimates

The maximum likelihood estimate for the parameters is

$$(\widehat{\sigma}^2, \widehat{eta}_0, \widehat{eta}_1) = (0.0092, 1.9988, 1.5057)$$

- Since this is simulation we know the truth (0.01, 2, 1.5).
- ► In practice, need to report uncertainty on our estimates.

Sampling Distribution

- Generate the data many times.
- Calculate $(\widehat{\sigma}^2, \widehat{\beta}_0, \widehat{\beta}_1)$ each time.
- Calculate variance of resulting data.

Empirical Sampling Distribution of ML Estimator



Red point is truth. Blue point is our 1 actual sample ML estimates./33

Variance of $(\widehat{\sigma}^2, \widehat{\beta})$

Variance (based on simulation) is:

$$\mathsf{Var}\;((\widehat{\sigma}^2,\widehat{\beta})) = \begin{pmatrix} 9.46 \times 10^{-6} & -1.76 \times 10^{-6} & 1.27 \times 10^{-6} \\ -1.76 \times 10^{-6} & 3.31 \times 10^{-3} & -1.23 \times 10^{-3} \\ 1.27 \times 10^{-6} & -1.23 \times 10^{-3} & 4.97 \times 10^{-4} \end{pmatrix}$$

So

$$egin{aligned} & sd(\widehat{\sigma}^2) = \sqrt{\mathsf{Var}\ (\widehat{\sigma}^2)} pprox \sqrt{9.46 imes 10^{-6}} pprox 0.0031 \ & sd(\widehat{eta}_0) = \sqrt{\mathsf{Var}\ (\widehat{eta}_0)} pprox \sqrt{3.31 imes 10^{-3}} pprox 0.0576 \ & sd(\widehat{eta}_1) = \sqrt{\mathsf{Var}\ (\widehat{eta}_1)} pprox \sqrt{4.97 imes 10^{-4}} pprox 0.0223 \end{aligned}$$

Simulation Has Major Weaknesses:

- What about $\beta \neq (2, 1.5)^T$ or $\sigma^2 \neq 0.1^2$?
- Since I don't know β or σ , how can this be used?

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var} \ (\widehat{\beta}) &= \text{Var} \ \left((\widehat{\sigma}, \widehat{\beta}_0, \widehat{\beta}_1) \right) \\ &= \text{Var} \ \left(\underset{(\sigma^2, \beta_0, \beta_1)}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\log(\sigma^2 + \sigma_i^2) + \frac{(y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2}{(\sigma^2 + \sigma_i^2)} \right) \right) \\ &= \operatorname{ummm} \dots \end{aligned}$$

Need more powerful statistical tools.

Intrinsic Scatter Continued

Cramer–Rao Bound and Fisher Information

Measurement Error in x

There are an infinite number of estimators for any problem:

÷

$$\begin{aligned} &\widehat{\theta}_1 = \text{maximum likelihood estimator} \\ &\widehat{\theta}_2 = \text{``chi-squared minimization''} \\ &\widehat{\theta}_3 = (\widehat{\theta}_1 + \widehat{\theta}_2)/2 \\ &\widehat{\theta}_4 = \text{``first estimate } \theta_1 \text{ using chi-squared, then } \dots \text{`'} \end{aligned}$$

Which is best?

Under regularity conditions on the model, for any (approximately) unbiased estimator $\widehat{\theta}$

$$\mathsf{Var}\;(\widehat{ heta}) \succeq I(heta)^{-1}$$

where

$$I(\theta) = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{d}{d\theta}\log f(X|\theta)\right)^2\right]$$

is called the Fisher information matrix.

Significance: Among all possible (approximately) unbiased estimators, there is a best possible (ie lowest variance) performance.

Theorem: Under regularity conditions, the maximum likelihood estimator acheives this bound ie

Var
$$_{ heta}(\widehat{ heta}_{\it ML})pprox {\it I}(heta)^{-1}$$

Significance: You can't do better than maximum likelihood (when *n* is large and model satisfies "regularity" conditions).

Estimating $I(\theta)^{-1}$

 $I(\theta)^{-1}$ is unknown, but we can estimate it:

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta) &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{d}{d\theta}\log f(X|\theta)\right)^2\right] \\ &= -\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2}\log f(X|\theta)\right] \\ &\approx -\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2}\log f(X|\theta)|_{\theta=\widehat{\theta}_{ML}} \\ &\equiv J(\widehat{\theta}_{ML}) \end{aligned}$$

Significance: Not only is maximum likelihood the best, we can quantify its performance even when there is no closed form solution to maximizing the likelihood (by computing $J(\hat{\theta}_{ML})$).

- ► <u>n ≈ p</u>: Maximum likelihood theory is asymptotic so not informative at small sample sizes or where the number of parameters is similar to number of samples.
- Nonparametric and semi-parametric models: Nadaraya-Watson, Kernel Density Estimators. Maximum likelihood does not work here.
- Bayesian Arguments: The Bayesian says: The sampling distribution is not what's important.
- Prior Information: What if I have pre-existing notions about the value of θ?
- Model Misspecification: What if I have an approximate model?

Application to Intrinsic Scatter

$$J(\widehat{\theta}_{ML}) = - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{d^2 \log(f(X|\theta))}{(d\sigma^2)^2} & \frac{d^2 \log(f(X|\theta))}{d\sigma^2 d\beta} \\ \frac{d^2 \log(f(X|\theta))}{d\sigma^2 d\beta} T & \frac{d^2 \log(f(X|\theta))}{d\beta^2} \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{\theta = \widehat{\theta}_{ML}}$$

 $J(\hat{\theta}_{ML})$ is the negative Hessian evaluated at $\hat{\theta}_{ML}$. Also known as the observed information.



$$\log(f(X|\theta)) \propto -\frac{1}{2} \sum \log(\sigma_{yi}^2 + \sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2} (Y - X\beta)^T W(\sigma^2) (Y - X\beta)$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 \log(f(X|\theta))}{d\beta^2} &= -X^T W(\sigma^2) X\\ \frac{d^2 \log(f(X|\theta))}{(d\sigma^2)^2} &= \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_{yi}^2 + \sigma^2)^{-2} - (Y - X\beta)^T W(\sigma^2)^3 (Y - X\beta)\\ \frac{d^2 \log(f(X|\theta))}{d\sigma^2 d\beta} &= -Y^T W(\sigma^2)^2 X + \beta^T X^T W(\sigma^2)^2 X \end{aligned}$$

For the intrinsic scatter problem:

$$(\widehat{\sigma}^2, \widehat{\beta}_0, \widehat{\beta}_1) = (0.0092, 1.9988, 1.5057)$$

and the estimate of the variance is

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\left(\widehat{\sigma}^{2},\widehat{\beta}\right)\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 9.36 \times 10^{-6} & 1.75 \times 10^{-5} & -9.19 \times 10^{-6} \\ 1.75 \times 10^{-5} & 3.21 \times 10^{-3} & -1.22 \times 10^{-3} \\ -9.19 \times 10^{-6} & -1.22 \times 10^{-3} & 5.16 \times 10^{-4} \end{pmatrix}$$

This is done using a single sample.

Estimate, Truth, Sampling Distribution, 95% CI



95% Confidence regions. Elliptical regions computed only from 1 sample (blue dot).

Intrinsic Scatter Continued

Cramer-Rao Bound and Fisher Information

Measurement Error in x

Simulation





Observations

- Ignoring error in x creates bias in estimators
- This is (in many ways) worse than ignoring intrinsic scatter or photometric errors in y
 - Only increase the variance, not biased.
- Having a large sample size <u>does not</u> help with errors in x.

Essentially

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \widehat{\beta}_0 \not\to \beta_0$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \widehat{\beta}_1 \not\to \beta_1$$

In particular

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\widehat{\beta}_1|<|\beta_1|$$

Simulation with Larger Sample Size



Simulation with Larger Sample Size



Notation: Observe data $\{(y_i, w_i, \sigma_{xi})\}_{i=1}^n$.

$$w_i = x_i + \delta_i$$

where $\delta_i \sim N(0, \sigma_{xi}^2)$. Linear relationship between y and x ie

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i$$

where $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$.

The x_i are unobserved, latent variables.

- Section 7.5 in textbook
- "Linear Regression for Astronomical Data with Measurement Errors and Intrinsic Scatter" Akritas [1]
- "Some aspects of measurement error in linear regression of astronomical data" Kelly [2]

[1] Michael G Akritas and Matthew A Bershady.

Linear regression for astronomical data with measurement errors and intrinsic scatter. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 470:706, 1996.

[2] Brandon C Kelly. Some aspects of measurement error in linear regression of astronomical data. The Astrophysical Journal, 665(2):1489, 2007.